UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

C.A. NO.: 04-CV-12253MLW

STEVEN MCDERMOTT and STACEY MCDERMOTT, Plaintiffs

V.

FEDEX GROUND PACKAGE SYSTEMS, INC.,

T.S. PRUITT, ALLEGIANCE HEALTHCARE
INC., D. PONCE, E.W. WYLIE
CORPORATION, D.W. SMITH,
ARSENBERGER TRUCKING, INC.,
J.T. FOSBRINK, RYDER TRUCK RENTAL,
LORRAINE ODZANA as ADMINISTRATRIX
OF THE ESTATE OF JAMES J. ODZANA,
SHORELINE TRANSPORTATION, INC.,
JACYLYN PALETTA as ADMINISTRATRIX
OF THE ESTATE OF MARIO J. CASTRO,
Defendants

ANSWER OF THE DEFENDANTS, E.W. WYLIE CORPORATION AND D.W.SMITH, TO THE PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

FIRST DEFENSE

The Defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith, answer the separately numbered paragraphs of the Second Amended Complaint as follows:

I. PARTIES

- 1. The defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 2. The defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 3. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W.

- Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 4. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 5. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 6. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 7. The defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 8. The defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 9. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.

2

- 10. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 11. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 12. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 13. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 14. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.

II. JURISDICTION

- 15. The defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 16. The defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 17. The defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph.

III. FACTS

- 18. The defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 19. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 20. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 21. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
 - 22. The defendants make no answer to the allegations

- 23. The defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 24. The defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 25. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 26. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 27. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 28. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W.

5

- Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 29. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 30. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 31. The defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 32. The defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph.

Steven McDermott v. FedEx Ground Package Systems, Inc.

- 33. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 34. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the

6

- 35. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 36. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.

V. NEGLIGENCE Steven McDermott v. T.S. Pruitt

- 37. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 38. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 39. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the

40. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.

VI. LOSS OF CONSORTIUM Stacey McDermott v. FedEx Ground Package Systems, Inc.

- 41. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 42. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 43. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 44. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the

8

VII. LOSS OF CONSORTIUM Stacey McDermott v. T.S. Pruitt

- 45. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 46. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 47. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 48. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.

Steven McDermott v. Allegiance Health Care, Inc.

49. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a

claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.

- 50. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 51. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 52. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.

IX. NEGLIGENCE Steven McDermott v. D. Ponce

- 53. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 54. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a

- 55. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 56. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.

X. LOSS OF CONSORTIUM Stacey McDermott v. Allegiance Health Care, Inc.

- 57. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 58. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
 - 59. The defendants make no answer to the allegations

60. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.

XI. LOSS OF CONSORTIUM

- 61. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 62. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 63. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
 - 64. The defendants make no answer to the allegations

XII. NEGLIGENCE Steven McDermott v. E.W. Wylie Corporation

- 65. The defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 66. The defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 67. The defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 68. The defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph.

XIII. NEGLIGENCE Steven McDermott v. D.W. Smith

- 69. The defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 70. The defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 71. The defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 72. The defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph.

XIV. LOSS OF CONSORTIUM Stacey McDermott v. E.W. Wylie Corporation

73. The defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph.

- 74. The defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 75. The defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 76. The defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph.

XV. LOSS OF CONSORTIUM Stacey McDermott v. D.W. Smith

- 77. The defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 78. The defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 79. The defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 80. The defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph.

XVI. NEGLIGENCE Steven McDermott v. Arsenberger Trucking, Inc.

- 81. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 82. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the

- 83. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 84. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.

XVII. NEGLIGENCE Steven McDermott v. J.T. Fosbrink

- 85. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 86. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 87. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the

88. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.

XVIII. LOSS OF CONSORTIUM Stacey McDermott v. Arsenberger Trucking, Inc.

- 89. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 90. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 91. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 92. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the

XIX. LOSS OF CONSORTIUM Stacey McDermott v. J.T. Fosbrink

- 93. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 94. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 95. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 96. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.

XX. NEGLIGENCE Steven McDermott v. Ryder Truck Rental

97. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a

claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.

- 98. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 99. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 100. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.

XXI. NEGLIGENCE Steven McDermott v. Lorraine Odzana, as Administratrix of the Estate of James J. Odzana

- 101. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
 - 102. The defendants make no answer to the allegations

- 103. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 104. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.

XXII. LOSS OF CONSORTIUM Stacey McDermott v. Ryder Truck Rental

- 105. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 106. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
 - 107. The defendants make no answer to the allegations

108. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.

Stacey McDermott v. Lorraine Odzana as Administratrix of the Estate of James J. Odzana

- 109. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 110. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 111. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.

112. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.

Steven McDermott v. Shoreline Transportation, Inc.

- 113. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 114. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 115. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 116. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.

XXV. NEGLIGENCE Steven McDermott v. Jacylyn Paletta as Administratrix of the Estate or Mario J. Castro

- 117. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 118. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 119. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 120. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.

XXVI. LOSS OF CONSORTIUM Stacey McDermott v. Shoreline Transportation, Inc.

121. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W.

- 122. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 123. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 124. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.

Stacey McDermott v. Jacylyn Paletta as Administratrix of the Estate of Mario J. Castro

- 125. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 126. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the

claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.

- 127. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.
- 128. The defendants make no answer to the allegations contained in this paragraph as they do not purport to state a claim against the defendants, E.W. Wylie Corporation and D.W. Smith. To the extent that they do state a claim against the defendants, they are hereby expressly denied.

SECOND DEFENSE

The plaintiffs were more than 50% at fault in causing the alleged injuries and, therefore, are barred from recovery by the Massachusetts comparative negligence statute, G.L. c. 231, sec. 85.

THIRD DEFENSE

If the plaintiffs are entitled to recover against the defendants, then any such recovery must be reduced in accordance with the Massachusetts comparative negligence statute, G.L. c. 231, sec. 85, since the negligence of the plaintiff was the proximate cause of the injuries allegedly sustained.

FOURTH DEFENSE

This action is barred by operation of the applicable statute

of limitations.

FIFTH DEFENSE

The Complaint should be dismissed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(4) for insufficiency of process.

SIXTH DEFENSE

The Complaint should be dismissed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(5) for insufficiency of service of process.

SEVENTH DEFENSE

This court lacks jurisdiction over the person of the defendant and, therefore, the Complaint should be dismissed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(2).

EIGHTH DEFENSE

The Complaint should be dismissed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(3) for improper venue.

NINTH DEFENSE

The Complaint fails to state a claim against the defendant upon which relief can be granted and, therefore, the Complaint should be dismissed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6).

TENTH DEFENSE

The Complaint should be dismissed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) on the grounds that Massachusetts law will not apply and the plaintiffs' claims are precluded by the law of the applicable state and/or Pennsylvania.

ELEVENTH DEFENSE

The Complaint should be dismissed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) on the grounds that the plaintiffs' injuries were not

caused by the defendants, D.W. Smith or E.W. Wylie.

TWELFTH DEFENSE

The acts or omissions which are alleged to have caused the damages and/or injuries referred to in the Complaint were committed by a third party who was not an agent or employee of the defendants, D. W. Smith or E.W. Wylie and for whose acts or omissions the defendants are not legally responsible.

THIRTEENTH DEFENSE

If the plaintiffs are entitled to recover against the defendants, then any such recovery must be reduced in accordance with the Pennsylvania comparative negligence statute, 42 Pa. C.S.A. §7102, since the negligence of the plaintiff was the proximate cause of the injuries allegedly sustained.

FOURTEENTH DEFENSE

The plaintiffs were more than 50% at fault in causing the alleged injuries and, therefore, are barred from recovery by the Pennsylvania comparative negligence statute, 42 Pa. C.S.A. §7102.

THE DEFENDANTS DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY.

WHEREFORE, the Defendants demand that this action be dismissed and that judgment be entered in the Defendants' favor together with costs.

THE DEFENDANTS,

E.W. WYLIE CORPORATION AND

D.W. SMITH,

BY THEIR ATTORNEYS,

Anthony M. Campo, BBO# 552093 Kevin M. Riordan, BBO# 652785 Boyle, Morrissey & Campo, P.C.

695 Atlantic Ave. Boston, MA 02111 (617) 451-2000

FAX: (617) 451-5775

W:\Cases\7515\plead\Answer.doc

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(a) and/or Sup. Ct. R. 9A, I, Anthony M. Campo/Kevin M. Riordan, do hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing documents have been served first-class postage prepaid on all parties or their representatives in this action as listed below:

Counsel for the Plaintiff

Joseph M. Mahaney, Esq.
Goguen, McLaughlin, Richards & Mahaney, P.C.
The Harriet Beecher Stowe House
2 Pleasant St.
South Natick, MA 01760

Counsel for the Defendants, FedEx Ground Systems, Inc. and T.S. Pruitt

Adam A. Larson, Esq.
Campbell, Campbell, Edwards & Conroy, PC.
One Constitution Plaza
Boston, MA 02129

Counsel for the Defendants, Arsenberger Trucking, Inc. and J.T. Fosbrink

Stephen Lee MacPhee, Esq. Morrison Mahoney 250 Summer Street Boston, MA 02210

Counsel for the Defendants, Allegiance Healthcare, Inc. and D. Ponce

John F.X. Lawler, Esq. Michael D. Riseberg, Esq. Adler, Pollock & Sheehan, P.C. 175 Federal Street Boston, MA 02110 SIGNED UNDER THE PENALTIES OF PERJURY THIS HOW DAY OF

<u>,</u> 2007.

Anthony M. Campo, BBO# 552093 Kevin M. Riordan, BBO# 652785 Boyle, Morrissey & Campo, P.C. 695 Atlantic Avenue Boston, MA 02111

(617) 451-2000 FAX: (617) 451-5775